

# UNITED STATE \* DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 09/551.537 04/18/00 **ARIOKA** HP107424-0000 **EXAMINER** IM22/1002 ARENT FOX KINTNER PLOTKIN & KAHN PLLC FERGUSON ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1050 CONNECTICUT AVENUE N W SUITE 600 WASHINGTON DC 20036-5339 1774 DATE MAILED: 10/02/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/551,537	ARIOKA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Lawrence D Ferguson	1774
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).		
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.		
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office		

Application/Control Number: 09/551,537

Art Unit: 1774

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 250 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 250 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it contains more than one paragraph.

## Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 102(e)

- 3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
  - (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 4. Claims 1 and 3-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated over Hurditch et al. (U.S. 5,952,073).
- 5. Hurditch discloses a recordable medium comprising a protective layer, a reflective layer in proximity to the protective layer, a recording layer with a dye composition in proximity to the reflective layer and a transparent substrate on which the

Application/Control Number: 09/551,537

Art Unit: 1774

recording layer is formed (column 7, lines 10-16). Hurditch discloses a dye layer (column 7, line 64). Hurditch discloses the recording layer is formed by dissolving the dye mixture in a coating solvent at 2-10% by weight of the total components in the solution (column 10, lines 26-29). Hurditch discloses typical organic solvents for use with the dye mixtures comprises diacetone alcohol (column 10, lines 44-45) and spin coating (column 10, lines 48-49). Hurditch discloses the dye layer may be optionally dried (column 11, line 8) meaning the dye layer does not necessarily have to undergo a drying treatment.

## Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 103(a)

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 2 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Hurditch et al. (U.S. 5,952,073) in view of Cunningham et al (U.S. 5,547,728).
- 8. Hurditch is relied upon for claims 1 and 3-4. Hurditch does not disclose the rotating speed for the spin coating. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to find the optimum rotating speed in order to provide optimal working conditions. Hurditch also does not disclose the organic solvent as 2,2,3,3-tetrafluoro-1-propanol.

Cunningham teaches an optical recording element having a transparent substrate, a recording layer and a light reflecting layer (abstract) and a protective layer over the reflective layer (column 10, line 65). Cunningham teaches the use of coating solvents such as 2,2,3,3-tetrafluoro-propanol.

Hurditch and Cunningham are analogous art because they are from the same field of recording medium. It would have been obvious to combine the organic solvent, 2,2,3,3-tetrafluoro-propanol, as a solvent for the dye layer of Hurditch because Cunningham teaches that solvents such as 2,2,3,3-tetrafluoro-propanol is selected for its minimal effect on the substrate.

#### Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lawrence Ferguson whose telephone number is (703) 305-9978. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30 AM – 4:30PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cynthia Kelly can be reached on (703) 308-0449. Please allow the examiner twenty-four hours to return your call.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-5408 for regular communications and (703) 305-3599 for After Final communications. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2351.

Lawrence D. Ferguson

Examiner

September 26, 2001

CYNTHIA H. KELLY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
-TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1708